An ANTLR Grammar for Esterel

COMS W4115

Prof. Stephen A. Edwards
Fall 2003
Columbia University
Department of Computer Science

ANTLR Parser Specifications

Looks at the next k tokens when deciding which option to consider next.

The Esterel LRM

- Keywords are reserved and cannot be used as identifiers. Many constructs are bracketed, like "present ... end present". For such constructs, repeating the initial keyword is optional; one can also write "present ... end".
- Simple comments start with % and end at end-of-line.
 Multiple-line comments start with %{ and end with }% .

ANTLR

```
public class
     Esterel.a
                          EsterelParser extends
class EsterelParser
                          antlr.LLkParser
extends Parser;
                          implements
                          EsterelParserTokenTypes
file : expr EOF!;
                          {}
class EsterelLever
                               EsterelLexer.iava
extends Lexer;
                          public class EsterelLexer
ID : LETTER (LETTER
                          extends antlr.CharScanner
| DIGIT)*;
                          EsterelParserTokenTypes,
                          TokenStream {}
```

EsterelParser.java

An ANTLR grammar for Esterel

Esterel: Language out of France. Programs look like

```
module ABRO:
input A, B, R;
output O;
loop
  [ await A || await B ];
  emit O
each R
```

A Lexer for Esterel

Operators from the langauge reference manual:

```
. # + - / * | | < > , = ; : := ( )
[ ] ? ?? <= >= <> =>
```

Main observation: none longer than two characters. Need k=2 to disambiguate, e.g., ? and ??.

```
class EsterelLexer extends Lexer;
options {
   k = 2;
}
```

ANTLR Lexer Specifications

```
Look like

class MyLexer extends Lexer;
options {
  option = value
}

Token1 : 'char' 'char';
Token2 : 'char' 'char';
Token3 : 'char' ('char')?;
```

Tries to match all non-protected tokens at once.

The Esterel LRM

Lexical aspects are classical:

- Identifiers are sequences of letters, digits, and the underline character, starting with a letter.
- Integers are as in any language, e.g., 123, and floating-point numerical constants are as in C++ and Java; the values 12.3, .123E2, and 1.23E1 are constants of type double, while 12.3£, .123E2£, and 1.23E1£ are constants of type float.
- Strings are written between double quotes, e.g.,
 "a string", with doubled double quotes as in
 "a "" double quote".

A Lexer for Esterel

Next, I wrote a rule for each punctuation character:

```
PERIOD: '.';
POUND: '#';
PLUS: '+';
DASH: '-';
SLASH: '/';
STAR: '*';
```

A Lexer for Esterel

Identifiers are standard:

```
ID
: ('a'..'z' | 'A'..'Z')
     ('a'..'z' | 'A'..'Z' | '_' | '0'..'9')*
;
```

A Lexer for Esterel

Another problem: ANTLR scanners check each recognized token's text against keywords by default.

A string such as "abort" would scan as a keyword!

```
options {
  k = 2;
  charVocabulary = '\3'..'\377';
  exportVocab = Esterel;
  testLiterals = false;
}

ID options { testLiterals = true; }
  : ('a'..'z' | 'A'..'Z') /* ... */;
```

Number Rules

A Lexer for Esterel

String constants must be contained on a single line and may contain double quotes, e.g.,

"This is a constant with ""double quotes"""

ANTLR makes this easy: annotating characters with! discards them from the token text:

StringConstant

```
: '"'!
    ( ~('"' | '\n')
    | ('"'! '"')
    )*
    '"'!
```

Numbers Defined

From the LRM:

Integers are as in any language, e.g., 123, and floating-point numerical constants are as in C++ and Java; the values 12.3, .123E2, and 1.23E1 are constants of type double, while 12.3f, .123E2f, and 1.23E1f are constants of type float.

Number Rules Continued

A Lexer for Esterel

I got in trouble with the ~ operator, which inverts a character class. Invert with respect to what?

Needed to change options:

```
options {
  k = 2;
  charVocabulary = '\3'..'\377';
  exportVocab = Esterel;
}
```

Numbers

With k=2, for each rule ANTLR generates a set of characters that can appear first and a set that can appear second. But it doesn't consider the possible combinations.

I split numbers into Number and FractionalNumber to avoid this problem: If the two rules were combined, the lookahead set for Number would include a period (e.g., from ".1") followed by end-of-token e.g., from "1" by itself).

Example numbers:	First	Second
.1\$		EOT
.2	1	
1\$	2	1

Comments

From the LRM:

Simple comments start with % and end at end-of-line. Multiple-line comments start with %{ and end with }%.

Comments

```
Comment
    ( ('{') => '{'
       ( // Prevent .* from eating the whole file
         options {greedy=false;}:
            ('\r' '\n') => '\r' '\n' { newline(); }
             '\r'
                                       newline(); }
              '\n'
              ~( '\n' | '\r' )
      | ((~'\n'))* '\n' { newline(); }
  { $setType(Token.SKIP); }
```

{ newline(); }

Grammar from the LRM

But in fact, the compiler accepts

```
module TestSemicolon1:
 nothing;
end module
module TestSemicolon2:
 nothing; nothing;
end module
module TestSemicolon3:
 nothing; nothing
end module
```

Rule seems to be "one or more statements separated by semicolons except for the last, which is optional."

Nondeterminism

```
sequence : atomicStatement seq1 seq2 ;
seq1 : SEMICOLON atomicStatement seq1
     /* nothing */;
seq2 : SEMICOLON
     /* nothing */;
```

How does it choose an alternative in seq1?

First choice: next token is a semicolon.

Second choice: next token is one that may follow seq1.

But this may also be a semicolon!

A Parser for Esterel

Esterel's syntax started out using; as a separator and later allowed it to be a terminator.

The language reference manual doesn't agree with what the compiler accepts.

Grammar for Statement Sequences

Obvious solution:

```
sequence
  : atomicStatement
    (SEMICOLON atomicStatement)*
    (SEMICOLON)?
warning: nondeterminism upon
k==1:SEMICOLON
between alt 1 and exit branch of block
```

Which option do you take when there's a semicolon?

Nondeterminsm

Solution: tell ANTLR to be greedy and prefer the iteration solution.

```
sequence
```

```
: atomicStatement
  ( options { greedy=true; }
  : SEMICOLON! atomicStatement )*
  (SEMICOLON!)?
;
```

Grammar from the LRM

```
NonParallel:
 AtomicStatement
 Sequence
Sequence:
  SequenceWithoutTerminator; ont
SequenceWithoutTerminator:
 AtomicStatement; AtomicStatement
  SequenceWithoutTerminator; AtomicStatement
AtomicStatement:
  nothing
 pause
```

Nondeterminism

```
sequence : atomicStatement
    (SEMICOLON atomicStatement)*
    (SEMICOLON)? ;
Is equivalent to
sequence : atomicStatement seq1 seq2 ;
seq1 : SEMICOLON atomicStatement seq1
     /* nothing */;
seg2 : SEMICOLON
     /* nothing */;
```

Nondeterminism

```
Delays can be "A" "X A" "immediate A" or "[A and B]."
delay : expr bSigExpr
        bSigExpr
         "immediate" bSigExpr ;
bSigExpr : ID
          "[" signalExpression "]";
expr : ID | /* ... */;
Which choice when next token is an ID?
```

Nondeterminism

What do we really want here?

If the delay is of the form "expr bSigExpr," parse it that way.

Otherwise try the others.

Turning Off Greedy Rules

The right way is to disable greedy:

```
COMMENT
: "/*"
  (options {greedy=false;} :.)*
```

This only works if you have two characters of lookahead:

```
class L extends Lexer;
options {
   k=2;
}
CMT : "/*" (options {greedy=false;} :.)* "*/";
```

Removing the Warning

```
class MyGram extends Parser;
stmt
    "if" expr "then" stmt
    (options {greedy=true;} :"else" stmt)?
;
```

Nondeterminism

delayPair : expr bSigExpr ;

The => operator means "try to parse this first. If it works, choose this alternative."

The Dangling Else Problem

```
class MyGram extends Parser;

stmt: "if" expr "then" stmt ("else" stmt)?;

Gives

ANTLR Parser Generator Version 2.7.1

gram.g:3: warning: nondeterminism upon

gram.g:3: k==1:"else"

gram.g:3: between alts 1 and 2 of block
```

A Simpler Language

```
class MyGram
                          match(LITERAL_if);
                          expr();
   extends Parser:
                          match(LITERAL_then);
                          stmt():
stmt
                           switch (LA(1)) {
 : "if" expr
                          case LITERAL_else:
   "then" stmt
                            match(LITERAL_else);
   ("else" stmt)?
                            stmt();
                            break;
   "fi"
                           case LITERAL fi:
                            break;
                          default:
                            throw new SyntaxError(LT(1));
                          match(LITERAL_fi);
```

Greedy Rules

The author of ANTLR writes

I have yet to see a case when building a parser grammar where I did not want a subrule to match as much input as possible.

However, it is particularly useful in scanners:

```
COMMENT
: "/*" (.)* "*/"
```

This doesn't work like you'd expect...

Generated Code

```
stmt : "if" expr "then" stmt ("else" stmt)?;
match(LITERAL_if);
expr();
match(LITERAL_then);
stmt();
if ((LA(1)==LITERAL_else)) {
  match(LITERAL_else); /* Close binding else */
  stmt();
} else if ((LA(1)==LITERAL_else)) {
  /* go on: else can follow a stmt */
} else {
  throw new SyntaxError(LT(1));
}
```