

# A bijective proof on circular compositions

Rocco Servedio, Yeong-Nan Yeh

Institute of Mathematics

Academia Sinica

Taipei, Taiwan 11529

Republic of China

## Abstract

The study of the preimage problem of an endofunction on circular compositions is motivated by the study of coloring circular-arc graphs. In this paper we establish a 1-1 correspondence between preimages of a given circular composition  $S$  and proper  $S$ -sequences, and also provide a necessary and sufficient condition for a sequence of subsets of the natural numbers to be a proper  $S$ -sequence for some circular composition  $S$ .

## §I. Introduction

A graph  $G$  is an interval graph (also known as a circular-arc graph) if there exists a family  $\mathcal{F}$  of arcs of the unit circle and a one-to-one correspondence between vertices of  $G$  and arcs of  $\mathcal{F}$  such that two vertices are connected if and only if their corresponding arcs overlap.

A proper  $c$ -coloring of a graph  $G$  is a mapping from the vertices of  $G$  to the set  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, c\}$  such that no two adjacent vertices are mapped to the same number. The chromatic number  $\chi(G)$  is the smallest value of  $c$  for which there exists a proper  $c$ -coloring of  $G$ . It is known that the chromatic number of an interval graph  $G$  is equal to the size of its maximum clique.

Given an angular position  $\theta$ , let  $S(\theta)$  denote the set of arcs which pass through  $\theta$ ;  $|S(\theta)|$  is known as the density of  $\theta$ . Let  $\Delta(G)$  and  $\delta(G)$  denote the maximum density and the minimum density of  $G$ .

Let  $\theta_1$  be an angular position such that  $|S(\theta_1)|$  is maximum. Since any two arcs in  $S(\theta_1)$  overlap each other, no two arcs in  $S(\theta_1)$  can be assigned the same color. Hence  $\chi(G) \geq \Delta(G)$ .

Let  $\theta_2$  be an angular position such that  $|S(\theta_2)|$  is minimum. We assign the colors  $1, 2, 3, \dots, \delta(G)$  to the arcs in  $S(\theta_2)$  and assign other colors to other arcs. Let  $F = G \setminus S(\theta_2)$ .  $F$  is an interval graph and  $\chi(F) = \Delta(F)$ . Therefore, there exists a  $\Delta(G) + \delta(G)$ -coloring of  $G$ . Since  $\chi(G) \geq \Delta(G)$ , we have

$$\Delta(G) + \delta(G) < 2\Delta(G) \leq 2\chi(G).$$

K. Tsai [9] has observed that an attempt to calculate the expected value of  $(\Delta(G) + \delta(G))/\chi(G)$  leads one to the study of the preimage of the endofunction  $f$  (defined below) on circular compositions. Readers may also note that the study of circular compositions is similar to the game of Bulgarian Solitaire which was discussed in a programming and problem-solving seminar [4] at the Department of Computer Science at Stanford University.

A circular composition  $S = (s_1, \dots, s_m)$  is an arbitrary composition of a non-negative integer  $n$  on  $m$  circularly labeled positions around a disk. For the sake of brevity, we henceforth refer to a circular composition simply as a state. The set of all states with  $m$  positions

whose values add up to  $n$  is denoted  $\mathbf{T}(n, m)$ . A move  $f$  is performed on a state in the following way: for each  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , the value at position  $i$  (a non-negative integer  $s_i$ ) is distributed clockwise, one unit at a time, to itself and the following  $(s_i - 1)$  positions. The preimage of a state  $S$  is  $\mathbf{B}(S) = \{T \in \mathbf{T}(n, m) : f(T) = S\}$ . [11] contains the following result: (a) The necessary and sufficient conditions for cycle-states, root-states, and leaf-states. (b) The sharp upper and lower bounds for the length of a path from a given non-trivial state to its nearest LS in  $\mathbf{T}(n, m)$ . (c) Regardless of the initial state, one is sure to reach a cyclic-state, which has only the values  $\lfloor n/m \rfloor$  and  $\lfloor (n + m - 1)/m \rfloor$  at all positions, in at most  $m - 1$  moves. But [11] did not answer Dr. K. Tsai's original problem of finding the number of preimages for a given circular composition  $S$ .

In section II we present definitions and preliminary material relating to circular compositions. In section III we demonstrate a bijection between preimages of a circular composition  $S$  and proper  $S$ -sequences, thus obtaining a formula for finding the number of preimages for a given circular composition  $S$ . In section IV, we provide a necessary and sufficient condition for a sequence of subsets of the natural numbers to be a proper  $S$ -sequence for some circular composition and give some examples.

## §II. Preliminaries

We require some definitions from [11].

**Definition 1.** A **cycle-state** is a state  $S$  such that there exists some  $k > 0$  for which  $f^k(S) = S$ .

**Definition 2.** A  $(n, m)$ -**configuration** is a matrix  $C$  with  $n$  rows and  $m$  columns, with entries either 0 or 1, having a total of  $n$  entries equal to 1. Let  $\mathcal{C}(n, m)$  be the set of all  $(n, m)$ -configurations.

According to our usage row 1 is at the bottom, and we will use the term “level  $i$ ” to refer to row  $i$ , and “position  $j$ ” to refer to column  $j$  (positions are always added modulo  $m$ ). We will frequently refer to an entry of 1 in  $C$  as a coin. A state  $S = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m) \in \mathbf{T}(n, m)$  is



of position  $j - 1, \dots$ , level 1 of position  $j - k + 1$ . Infinite lines which are parallel to  $L$  will be referred to as **right-diagonal lines**.

The following lemma is evident.

**Lemma 5.** Given a configuration  $C \in \mathcal{C}(n, m)$ , every coin is slanted if and only if the following condition holds : for all  $j = 1, \dots, m$  and  $i > 1$ , if there is a coin in level  $i$  of position  $j$ , then there is a coin in level  $i - 1$  of position  $j - 1$ .

An element  $T \in \mathbf{B}(S)$  is obtained from  $S$  by performing the backward move  $f^{-1}$ .

**Definition 6.** A **backward move** consists of the following two steps:

1. In the **first backward step**,  $f_2^{-1}$  (not unique), coins in each position may or may not be lifted some levels so that all coins are slanted coins.
2. In the **second backward step**,  $f_1^{-1}$ , each  $k$ -level coin is moved to level  $k$  of the  $(k - 1)$ -st previous position.

A **backward move**  $f^{-1} : \mathbf{T}(n, m) \longrightarrow \mathbf{T}(n, m)$  consists of successively performing the first backward step and the second backward step; in other words,  $f^{-1} = f_1^{-1} \circ f_2^{-1}$ .

Since  $f_1^{-1}$  is unique, there is a 1-1 correspondence between preimages  $T \in \mathbf{B}(S)$  and configurations  $f_2^{-1}(S)$ . In section III we will count the elements of  $\mathbf{B}(S)$  by counting configurations  $C \in f_2^{-1}(S)$ ; these are configurations with  $s_i$  coins in position  $i$ , lifted in such a way that every coin is a slanted coin. We will call such configurations slanted configurations of state  $S$ .

### §III. Preimage of state $S$ and proper $S$ -sequence

Now for our main result. We establish a bijection between the set of slanted configurations of a state  $S$  and a certain collection of finite sequences, thus obtaining a formula for  $|\mathbf{B}(S)|$ .

**Definition 7.** Given a particular arrangement of slanted coins in position  $j$  of a configuration  $C$ , a **slot** in position  $j + 1$  of  $C$  is a level at which a slanted coin could be placed.

If there are  $s_j$  slanted coins at levels  $h_1, \dots, h_{s_j}$  in position  $j$ , then there are  $s_j + 1$  slots in position  $j + 1$  at levels  $1, h_1 + 1, \dots, h_{s_j} + 1$ . We will always refer to slots  $1, 2, \dots, s_j + 1$  going from the lowest slot (which is always at level 1) on up.

For a given state  $S = (s_1, \dots, s_m)$ , let  $A_j$  be the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, s_{j-1} + 1\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}(S)$  be the set of  $m$ -element sequences  $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m)$  in which  $a_j \subset A_j$  and  $|a_j| = s_{j-1} + 1 - s_j$ . There are  $\binom{s_{j-1} + 1}{s_{j-1} + 1 - s_j} = \binom{s_{j-1} + 1}{s_j}$  choices for each  $a_j$ , so there are

$$\prod_{j=1}^m \binom{s_{j-1} + 1}{s_j}$$

elements in  $\mathcal{H}(S)$ . Since there are  $s_{j-1} + 1$  slots in position  $j$  and  $s_j$  coins in position  $j$ , we can view a letter  $a_j$  of a given sequence  $a$  as a set of slots in position  $j$  which are to be left blank.

Suppose we now add the condition that at each position  $j$ , the top slot  $s_{j-1} + 1$  is not to be left blank (i.e.,  $s_{j-1} + 1 \notin a_j$  for each  $j$ ). In this case there are  $\binom{s_{j-1}}{s_{j-1} - 1}$  choices for each  $a_j$ , so the number of elements of  $\mathcal{H}(S)$  which satisfy this requirement is  $\prod_{j=1}^m \binom{s_{j-1}}{s_j - 1}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{W}(S) = \{a \in \mathcal{H}(S) : \text{there exists some } j \text{ such that } s_{j-1} + 1 \in a_j\}$ . It follows that

$$|\mathcal{W}(S)| = \prod_{j=1}^m \binom{s_{j-1} + 1}{s_j} - \prod_{j=1}^m \binom{s_{j-1}}{s_j - 1}.$$

We henceforth refer to sequences  $a \in \mathcal{W}(S)$  as **proper  $S$ -sequences**.

There is a simple algorithm for constructing a slanted configuration of state  $S$  from a proper  $S$ -sequence  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$  of  $\mathcal{W}(S)$ . In the following algorithm, a space is **unmarked** if it contains neither a coin nor an X. At the beginning of the algorithm all spaces are unmarked.

**Step 0.** Let  $i = 0$ .

**Step 1.** Let  $i = i + 1$ . If there are any unmarked spaces in level  $i$ , go to step 2. If there are no unmarked spaces in level  $i$ , then stop.

**Step 2.** Consider all unmarked spaces in level  $i$ , one at a time, going from left to right. If an unmarked space in position  $j$  of level  $i$  is the  $q$ -th slot in position  $j$  and  $q \notin a_j$ , then

place a coin at position  $j$  in level  $i$ . If an unmarked space is the  $q$ -th slot in position  $j$  and  $q \in a_j$ , then mark an X at position  $j$  in level  $i$ , and mark with an X every unmarked space which the right-diagonal line from position  $j$  of row  $i$  passes through. (Each of the infinitely many unmarked spaces on the right-diagonal line is at a level greater than  $i$ .) Go to step 1.

**Example 1.**  $S = (3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 3)$ ,  $a = (\{2\}, \emptyset, \{4\}, \{1\}, \{5\}, \{3\}, \{3, 4\})$ .

We have  $A_1 = A_2 = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ ,  $A_3 = A_4 = A_5 = A_6 = A_7 = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ . For each  $i$  we have  $a_i \subset A_i$  and  $|a_i| = s_{i-1} + 1 - s_i$ , and  $5 = \max a_5 = \max A_5$ , so  $a$  is a proper  $S$ -sequence. The reader may verify that the configuration of coins which results from performing the algorithm on  $a$  is shown in Figure 1(b).

We can immediately state some simple facts about the algorithm. Each element of a given  $a_j$  corresponds to a right-diagonal line of X's which is marked down. The number of elements in all of the  $a_j$ , for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , is  $\sum_{j=1}^m (s_j + 1 - s_{j-1}) = m$ . The algorithm will stop only when the  $m$ -th right-diagonal line of X's is marked down, since at that point there will be no unmarked spaces left. In level 1 every space is a slot, and if the algorithm has been performed on levels  $1, \dots, i$ , then any space in level  $i + 1$  that is not a slot must already be marked with an X. Consequently, after performing step 1, the unmarked spaces in level  $i$  are precisely the spaces in that level which are slots in their respective positions.

Let  $g$  be the function which acts on an element  $a \in \mathcal{W}(S)$  by performing the algorithm described above.

**Lemma 8.** The function  $g$  is well-defined from  $\mathcal{W}(S)$  to  $f_2^{-1}(S)$ .

**Proof.**  $f_2^{-1}(S)$  is the set of all slanted configurations of state  $S$ , i.e. configurations with  $s_j$  coins in position  $j$  and with every coin slanted. Since coins can only be placed into slots, all coins in  $g(a)$  are slanted, so we need only prove that  $g(a)$  has precisely  $s_j$  coins in every position  $j$ .

**Case 1:**  $g(a)$  has some position  $j$  which contains more than  $s_j$  coins. Let  $k$  be the position which is the first one in the course of the algorithm to receive  $s_k + 1$  coins. We "interrupt" the algorithm and consider the configuration  $C$  which exists immediately after

the  $(s_k + 1)$ -st coin is placed into position  $k$ . Let  $c_j$  = the number of coins which are in position  $j$  of configuration  $C$ , so  $c_k = s_k + 1$  and for  $j \neq k$ ,  $c_j \leq s_j$ . By step 2 of the algorithm and the definition of the sequence  $a$ , position  $k$  of  $C$  must have  $s_{k-1} + 1 - s_k$  slots that have been marked with an X in addition to its  $s_k + 1$  coins, so the uppermost coin in position  $k$  of  $C$  must be occupying slot  $s_{k-1} + 2$ . But if slot  $s_{k-1} + 2$  exists in position  $k$  of  $C$ , then  $c_{k-1} \geq s_{k-1} + 1$  coins, which contradicts our choice of  $k$ .

**Case 2:**  $g(a)$  has some position  $j$  which contains fewer than  $s_j$  coins. Let  $t_i$  = the number of coins which are in position  $i$  of configuration  $g(a)$ , so  $t_j < s_j$ . If  $t_{j-1} = s_{j-1}$ , then there are  $s_{j-1} + 1$  slots in position  $j$ , and since the algorithm permits us to leave at most  $s_{j-1} + 1 - s_j$  slots blank in position  $j$ , it follows that  $t_j = s_j$ . But this contradicts our assumption, so we have  $t_{j-1} < s_{j-1}$ . Iteratively, we have  $t_i < s_i$  for all  $i$ . Let  $k$  be a position at which the top slot is to be left blank, i.e.  $s_{k-1} + 1 \in a_k$  (such a position must exist by the definition of  $a$ ). The algorithm cannot stop before the right-diagonal line of X's corresponding to  $s_{k-1} + 1 \in a_k$  has been marked down, but that line must originate at slot  $s_{k-1} + 1$  of position  $k$ , and if slot  $s_{k-1} + 1$  of position  $k$  is to exist then we must have  $t_{k-1} \geq s_{k-1}$ . This contradiction implies that the algorithm can never stop; but if it never stops then clearly for every  $j$  we have  $t_j > s_j$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 9.**  $|\mathbf{B}(S)| = \prod_{j=1}^m \binom{s_{j-1} + 1}{s_j} - \prod_{j=1}^m \binom{s_{j-1}}{s_j - 1}$ .

**Proof.** We need only show that  $g$  is a bijection. Injectivity is simple; if  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$  and  $b = (b_1, \dots, b_m)$  are distinct elements of  $\mathcal{W}(S)$ , then for some  $k$  we have  $a_k \neq b_k$ , so the coins in position  $k$  of the configuration  $g(a)$  occupy different slots than the coins in position  $k$  of  $g(b)$ , and  $g(a)$  and  $g(b)$  must be distinct configurations.

Choose a configuration  $C \in f_2^{-1}(S)$ . For each  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , let  $a_j = \{\text{the slots in position } j+1 \text{ which are blank}\}$ . To prove surjectivity, we will show that the sequence  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$  is in  $\mathcal{W}(S)$  and that  $g(a) = C$ . Clearly  $a \in \mathcal{H}(S)$ .

Suppose that there is no position  $j$  such that the top slot is left blank, i.e., we have  $s_j + 1 \notin a_j$  for all  $j$ . Let  $x$  be a coin of maximal height in  $C$ , and let us say the level of  $x$



is  $k$  and it is at position  $j$ . Level  $k + 1$  of position  $j + 1$  must be the top slot in position  $j + 1$ , but since the top slot is never left blank, there must be a coin at level  $k + 1$  of position  $j + 1$ , which is impossible. It follows that there must exist some  $j$  such that  $s_{j-1} + 1 \in a_j$ , so  $a \in \mathcal{W}(S)$ .

We prove  $g(a) = C$  by induction on levels. In level 1, it has coins in precisely those positions  $j$  such that  $1 \notin a_j$ ; this condition characterizes the placement of coins in level 1 in  $C$  as well. Assume that  $g(a)$  and  $C$  are identical in levels  $1, \dots, h$ . In level  $h + 1$  of  $C$ , there are coins in precisely those positions  $j$  such that level  $h$  of position  $j$  is a slot  $q$  which is not in  $a_j$ . This condition characterizes the coins in level  $h + 1$  of  $g(a)$  as well, so  $C$  and  $g(a)$  are identical up to level  $h + 1$ . By induction, we have  $g(a) = C$  and the map  $g$  is surjective.  $\square$

We single out some special cases as corollaries:

**Corollary 10.** If  $S$  is such that for some  $j$  we have  $s_j = 0$ , then  $\mathbf{B}(S) = \prod_{j=1}^m \binom{s_{j-1} + 1}{s_j}$ .

**Corollary 11.** If a state  $S = (k, k, \dots, k, k)$ , then  $\mathbf{B}(S) = (k + 1)^m - k^m$ .

**Corollary 12.** If a state  $S$  contains a coin which is not slanted, then  $\mathbf{B}(S) = 0$ .

#### §IV. Characterization of proper $S$ -sequences

Given a state  $S$ , we have shown how to obtain the set of proper  $S$ -sequences  $\mathcal{W}(S)$  which corresponds to  $\mathbf{B}(S)$ . It is natural to ask the following questions: Given some finite sequence  $a$  of finite sets of natural numbers, under what conditions does there exist a state  $S$  such that  $a$  is a proper  $S$ -sequence?

In this section we will provide necessary and sufficient conditions on  $a$ . Furthermore, we show that given  $a$ , we can determine  $S$  without performing  $g(a)$ .

It is easy to derive a necessary condition on  $a$ : if  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$  is a proper  $S$ -sequence, then  $|a_i| = s_{i-1} + 1 - s_i$  for each  $i$ , so

$$\sum_{i=1}^m |a_i| = \sum_{i=1}^m (s_{i-1} + 1 - s_i) = m. \quad (1)$$

We will show that this condition is sufficient as well.

Let  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$  be a sequence of sets of natural numbers which satisfies condition

(1). If  $S$  is a state such that  $a$  is a proper  $S$ -sequence, then  $s_2 = s_1 + 1 - |a_2|$ ,  $s_3 = s_2 + 1 - a_3 = s_1 + 2 - |a_2| - |a_3|$ , and for each  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , we have  $s_i = s_1 + c_i$ , with

$$c_i = i - 1 - \sum_{j=2}^i |a_j| \quad (2)$$

Note that  $c_1 = 0$ . If  $a_j \neq \emptyset$ , then let  $\bar{a}_j$  denote  $\max a_j = \max\{v | v \in a_j\}$ . If  $a$  is a proper  $S$ -sequence, then there must exist an  $i$  such that  $s_i + 1 = \bar{a}_{i+1}$ , i.e.  $s_1 + c_i + 1 = \bar{a}_{i+1}$ .

Let  $s'_1$  be the number which satisfies the following condition: there exists some  $k$  such that  $s'_1 + c_k + 1 = \bar{a}_{k+1}$ , and if  $q$  is such that there exists a  $j$  for which  $q + c_j + 1 = \bar{a}_{j+1}$ , then  $q \leq s_1$ . In other words,  $s'_1 = \max\{x_i | x_i + c_i + 1 = \bar{a}_{i+1}, i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ .

We also let  $s'_i = s'_1 + c_i$  for  $i = 2, 3, \dots, m$ . Then we have the following characterization theorem.

**Theorem 13.** Let  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$  be a sequence of sets of natural numbers. If  $a$  satisfies condition (1) above, then  $a$  is a proper  $S$ -sequence for  $S = (s'_1, \dots, s'_m)$  with  $s'_i$  as defined above.

**Proof.** We must show that for all  $j$ ,  $a_j$  is a  $(s'_{j-1} + 1 - s'_j)$ -element subset of  $\{1, \dots, s'_{j-1} + 1\}$  and that for some  $k$  we have  $s'_k \in a_{k+1}$ . By the definition of  $s'_j$  and equation (2), we have

$$s'_{j-1} - s'_j + 1 = c_{j-1} - c_j + 1 = j - 2 - \sum_{i=2}^{j-1} |a_i| - (j - 1 - \sum_{i=2}^j |a_i|) + 1 = |a_j|.$$

The definition of  $s'_1$  implies that  $s'_{i-1} + 1 = s'_1 + c_{i-1} + 1 \geq \bar{a}_i$  for every  $i$ , so we have  $a_i \subset \{1, \dots, s'_{i-1} + 1\}$  for every  $i$ . Let  $k$  be such that  $s'_1 + c_k + 1 = \bar{a}_{k+1}$ . Then since  $s'_k = s'_1 + c_k$ , we have  $s'_k + 1 = \bar{a}_{k+1}$ .  $\square$

We close this paper by giving some examples.

**Example 2.** Let  $a = (\{h_1\}, \{h_2\}, \dots, \{h_m\})$  for some natural numbers  $h_1, \dots, h_m$ . Condition (1) is clearly satisfied since  $|a_i| = 1$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, m$  we have  $c_i = i - 1 - (i - 1) = 0$ , so the equations expressing  $s_i$  in terms of  $s_1$  are all simply  $s_i = s_1$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . For

$k = 1, \dots, m$  we have  $\bar{a}_{k+1} = h_{k+1}$ , so let  $h = \max\{h_k\}$ ; we have  $s'_1 = h - 1$  and the desired state  $S$  is  $(h - 1, h - 1, \dots, h - 1)$ . Note that in the case  $h_1 = h_2 = \dots = 1$  we obtain the trivial circular composition  $S = (0, \dots, 0)$ .

**Example 3.** Let  $a$  be the  $m$ -element sequence  $(\{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_m\}, \emptyset, \dots, \emptyset)$  with  $h_1 < \dots < h_m$ . By formula (2) we have  $c_i = i - 1$  so  $s_i = s_1 + i - 1$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Clearly  $k = m$  is the only value at which  $\bar{a}_{k+1}$  is defined, so we have  $\bar{a}_1 = h_m$  and  $s_1 = h_m - 1$ . This gives us  $S = (h_m - 1, h_m, h_m + 1, \dots, h_m + m - 2)$ .

**Example 4.** Let  $a = (\{2\}, \emptyset, \{4\}, \{1\}, \{5\}, \{3\}, \{3, 4\})$ . We have  $c_1 = c_7 = 0$ ,  $c_2 = c_3 = c_4 = c_5 = c_6 = 1$ . The values for  $\bar{a}_i$  are 2, undefined, 4, 1, 5, 3, 4 for  $i = 1, \dots, 7$  respectively, so  $s'_1 = 3$  is the maximum value such that for some  $k$  we have  $s'_1 + c_k + 1 = \bar{a}_{k+1}$ . Consequently we obtain  $S = (3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 3)$ , which agrees with Example 1.

## Acknowledgement

The authors are indebted to the National Science Council, Taiwan, Republic of China for financial support under Grant NSC-83-0208-M001-68.

## References

1. E. Akin and M. Davis, Bulgarian Solitaire, Amer. Math. Monthly, 92 (1985), 237-250.
2. J. Brandt, Cycles of partitions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc, 85 (1982), 483-486.
3. M. Gardner, Mathematical Games, Scientific American, August 1983, 8-13.
4. J.D. Hobby and D. Knuth, Problem 1: Bulgarian Solitaire, A programming and problem-solving Seminar, Dept. of Comp. Sci. Stanford University, Stanford, December 1983, 6-13.

5. K. Igusa, Solution of Bulgarian Solitaire Conjecture. Mathematics magazine, 58 (1985), 259-271.
6. J. Korst, E. Aarts, J. K. Lenstra and J. Wessels, Periodic assignment and graph colouring, Discrete Appl. Math. 51 (1985) 291-305.
7. J. Orlin, M. Bonuccelli, and D. Bovet, An  $O(n^2)$  algorithm for coloring proper circular arc graphs, SIAM. Algebraic Discrete Methods, 2 (1981), 88-93.
8. W. K. Shih and W. L. Hsu, An  $O(n^{1.5})$  algorithm to coloring proper circular arc graphs, Discrete Appl. Math. 25 (1985) 321-323.
10. K. Tsai, private communication.
9. A. C. Tucker, An efficient test circular arc graphs, SIAM J. Comput, 9 (1980), 1-24.
11. Yeh, Y.N., A remarkable endofunction involving compositions. Accepted by Studies in Appl. Math..